Amendment Dated August 27, 2008

Reply to Office Action of June 13, 2008

REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for the thorough consideration given the present

application. Claims 11-15 are pending in the present application. Claim 11 is an independent

claim. By this response, claims 8-10 are cancelled and claims 11-14 are amended. Claim 15 is

new.

Examiner Interview

Applicants' representative conducted a telephone interview with the Examiner on June

25, 2008. During the course of this interview the Examiner stated that he regarded claim 11 as

containing allowable subject matter and also noted that a claim allowing for non-uniform taper of

the lock pin would be regarded as allowable.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants thank the Examiner for noting that claim 11 would be allowable if re-written

into independent format. Applicants have re-written claim 11 into independent form as

suggested by the Examiner and respectfully request allowance of this claim and all claims

depending therefrom.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

Claims 8, 10, and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S.

Patent No. 6,962,133 to Ogawa (hereafter "Ogawa"). Claims 8 and 10 are cancelled, and claim

Application No.: 10/577,021 Docket No.: 1163-0549PUS1

Amendment Dated August 27, 2008 Reply to Office Action of June 13, 2008

13 now depends from claim 11, which the Office Action indicated as allowable. This rejection is

therefore moot.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 9, 12, and 14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Ogawa. Claim 9 is cancelled and claims 12 and 14 now depend from claim

11, which the Office Action indicated as allowable. This rejection is therefore moot.

New Claims

Applicants respectfully submit new claim 15 is allowable based on the telephone

interview conducted between Applicants' representative and the Examiner.

Conclusion

Since the remaining patents cited by the Examiner have not been utilized to reject the

claims, but to merely show the state of the art, no comment need be made with respect thereto.

In view of the above amendment, Applicants believe the pending application is in

condition for allowance. Thus, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the

outstanding rejections and issue a Notice of Allowance in the present application.

However, should the Examiner believe that any outstanding matters remain in the present

application, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' representative, Naphtali Y. Matlis

Application No.: 10/577,021 Amendment Dated August 27, 2008 Reply to Office Action of June 13, 2008

(Reg. No. 61,592) at the telephone number of the undersigned in order to discuss the application and expedite prosecution.

Dated: August 27, 2008

D. Richard Anderson

Registration No.: 40,439

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Docket No.: 1163-0549PUS1

8110 Gatehouse Rd Suite 100 East P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicants